Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/677,488	PEDERSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Henry M. Johnson, III	3739	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Henry M. Johnson, III*.

(3)*Larry Pederson*.

(2) *Rose Ann Caldwell*.

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: *11 April 2008*.

Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☒ Yes e)☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: *Showed prior models*.

Claim(s) discussed: *1-143*.

Identification of prior art discussed: *Hed*.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☒ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *Examiner agreed to reevaluate Hed art and Czeisler in the case. Examiner also indicated intended use is key and that ocular therapy device could be very broadly interpreted. Examiner will call applicant next week with results of the review.*.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

_____
Examiner's signature, if required